

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

ZLATKO HADROVIC,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

MARY BRAUN, et al.,

Defendant(s).

CASE NO. C25-0872-KKE

ORDER DENYING PENDING MOTIONS
AS MOOT

Certain Defendants filed motions to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim, before Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, evinced an intent to amend the complaint. Dkt. Nos. 12, 13, 16, 20. The Court thereafter granted Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint, which Plaintiff did. Dkt. Nos. 21, 24. As Defendants' motions to dismiss now pertain to a prior version of the operative complaint, the Court DENIES the motions (Dkt. Nos. 12, 13, 16) as moot, subject to re-filing with respect to the operative complaint. Because Plaintiff's motion to remand is also directed at a prior version of the complaint, Plaintiff's pending motion to remand (Dkt. No. 19) is likewise DENIED as moot, subject to re-filing with respect to the operative complaint. *See, e.g., Gray v. City of Roseville*, No. 2:25-cv-0535-TLN-JDP (PS), 2025 WL 1014690, at *1 n.1 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 4, 2025).

Dated this 30th day of June, 2025.



Kymberly K. Evanson
United States District Judge